$\label{eq:Formal Concept Analysis in R} Motivation, success stories and future work with the \mathbf{fcaR} library$

Domingo López-Rodríguez

Department of Applied Mathematics Universidad de Málaga

Personal homepage: https://dominlopez.netlify.app fcaR homepage: https://malaga-fca-group.github.io/fcaR

Motivation

Why to develop an R package for FCA?

- R, together with Python, are the two most widely used programming languages in Machine Learning and Data Science.
- In R there are already libraries for association rule mining that have become standard: **arules**.
- There is no library in R that implements the basic ideas and functions of FCA and allows them to be used in other contexts.

Our purpose

- To help disseminate FCA as a knowledge discovery tool.
- To be able to perform rapid testing of new ideas, algorithms, etc., both from a theoretical and practical point of view.
- Rapid prototyping of new solutions that can be integrated into more complex computational systems.
- To enable the application of FCA to real problems: automatic reasoning and recommender systems.

Design principles

Usability

- Direct execution of most classical algorithms (even in the fuzzy setting).
- Provide methods to operate on contexts, concept lattice and implications.
- Logic: include the SL_{FD} logic to compute closure wrt implication sets.
- Interoperability:
 - Read/write datasets in various formats (CSV, CTX, \dots).
 - Import and export to arules.
- Allow reproducible research.
- Provide lots of documentation with examples.

Implementation

- Modern programming paradigms (object-oriented).
- Classes representing entities: contexts, lattices, implications...
- Allow for extensions: new algorithms, new ideas...
- Use base R for the interface, but bottlenecks implemented in C.

The **fcaR** library

Library availability



Contributed Packages

Available Packages Currently, the CRAN package repository features 18994 available packages.

The package is in a stable phase in a repository on Github and on CRAN.

- Unit tests
- Vignettes with demos
- Status:
 - lifecycle: stable
 - CRAN version: 1.1.1
 - downloads: ~19K

Classes and methods

Classes

| Class name | Use |
|------------------|---|
| "Set" | A basic class to store a fuzzy set using sparse matrices |
| "Concept" | A pair of sets (extent, intent) forming a concept for a given formal context |
| "ConceptLattice" | A set of concepts with their hierarchical relationship. It provides methods to compute notable elements, sublattices and plot the lattice graph |
| "ImplicationSet" | A set of implications, with functions to apply logic and com- pute closure of attribute sets |
| "FormalContext" | It stores a formal context, given by a table, and provides functions to use derivation operators, simplify the context, compute the concept lattice and the Duquenne-Guigues basis of implications |

Table 1: Main classes found in fcaR.

Main methods

Formal Contexts intent extent closure clarify reduce standardize find_concepts find_implications Concept Lattice supremum infimum sublattice meet_irreducibles join_irreducibles subconcepts superconcepts lower_neighbours upper_neighbours

Implication Set

closure recommend apply_rules to_basis

A remark on the Simplification Logic

| SL _{FD} | Equivalence rules |
|---|---|
| $[Ref] \frac{A \supseteq B}{A \Rightarrow B}$ | |
| $[\texttt{Frag}] \ \frac{A \Rightarrow B \cup C}{A \Rightarrow B}$ | $\{A \Rightarrow B\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B \smallsetminus A\}$ |
| $[\texttt{Comp}] \ \frac{A \Rightarrow B, \ C \Rightarrow D}{A \cup C \Rightarrow B \cup D}$ | $\{A \Rightarrow B, A \Rightarrow C\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow BC\}$ |
| $[\texttt{Simp}] \ \frac{A \Rightarrow B, \ C \Rightarrow D}{A(C \smallsetminus B) \Rightarrow D \smallsetminus B}$ | $A \subseteq C \Rightarrow \{A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B, A(C \smallsetminus B) \Rightarrow D \smallsetminus B\}$ |
| | $A \subseteq D \to \{A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow BD\} \equiv \{A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D\}$ |

The SL_{FD} closure algorithm makes use of the above equivalence rules to compute the closure X^+ of a set X using a set of implications Σ , and return a simplified Σ' where the attributes in X^+ do not appear, and such that:

$$\{\varnothing \Rightarrow X\} \cup \Sigma \equiv \{\varnothing \Rightarrow X^+\} \cup \Sigma'$$

Practical example of the functionalities

Context and derivation operators

Concept lattice

Implications and logic

Conceptual scaling

Reproducible research with fcaR and interoperability

All classes have a to_latex() method to export in a suitable form to a $\mbox{\sc bar} EX$ document:

• Tables (for formal contexts):

| | small | medium | large | near | far | moon | no_moon |
|---------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Mercury | × | | | × | | | × |
| Venus | \times | | | \times | | | × |
| Earth | \times | | | \times | | \times | |
| Mars | \times | | | \times | | \times | |
| Jupiter | | | \times | | \times | \times | |
| Saturn | | | × | | \times | × | |
| Uranus | | × | | | \times | × | |
| Neptune | | × | | | \times | × | |
| Pluto | × | | | | \times | × | |

• Listings (for concepts, implications...):

| 1: | $\{no_moon\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{$ small, near $\}$ |
|-----|--|---------------|------------------------------------|
| 2: | $\{far\}$ | \Rightarrow | {moon} |
| 3: | $\{near\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{\text{small}\}$ |
| 4: | $\{large\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{far, moon\}$ |
| 5: | $\{medium\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{far, moon\}$ |
| 6: | $\{medium, large, far, moon\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{\text{small, near, no_moon}\}$ |
| 7: | $\{\text{small, near, moon, no_moon}\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{medium, large, far\}$ |
| 8: | $\{\text{small, near, far, moon}\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{medium, large, no_moon\}$ |
| 9: | $\{\text{small, large, far, moon}\}\$ | \Rightarrow | $\{medium, near, no_moon\}$ |
| 10: | $\{$ small, medium, far, moon $\}$ | \Rightarrow | $\{large, near, no_moon\}$ |
| | | | |

• Plots (for formal contexts, lattice):



• fcaR code can be embedded in RMD files (plain text + code + results) and produce a presentation (such as this one!) or a complete paper:

CONTRIBUTED RESEARCH ARTICLE

fcaR, Formal Concept Analysis with R

by Pablo Cordero, Manuel Enciso, Domingo López-Rodríguez, and Ángel Mora

Implications and logic

The knowledge stored in a formal context can also be represented as a set of implications, which are

For instance (83/P1) => (P4) is a valid implication in the previous example, having the following

The Damenne-Gaissies basis of intellections (Colorues and Damenne, 1990) is a set of valid

| 1.1 | | | | (**/P2. |
|-----|------------------------------|--------|-----|----------|
| 2. | (**/#1 | (74) | | (P2) |
| | (199, +1 | 976) | - 2 | (PH) |
| | (P2. ^{***} /P) | 1.765 | - * | (P9) |
| 5. | 100,00,00,00,00 | 1745 | | (P2, P4) |
| 6 | (^{6,5})P1, P2, P1 | (76) | | (P1) |

In Contens et al. (2005) the elevelification lock: denoted as II --- sens introduced as a method which are well known from the 80s in databases, artificial intelligence, formal concept analysis, and

together with the following inference rules called fragmentation, composition and simplification

(Free) $A \Rightarrow B \cup C$ (Corp) $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D$ (Use) $A \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D$

The main advantage of \$1 --- with respect to Arrestoner's Asiene is that the inference rules may b set. In the package presented in this paper, we develop the following equivalences:

1. Drammentation Equivalence (DEe): $(A \rightarrow B) = (A \rightarrow B > A)$

Composition Equivalency ICaligl: (A ⇒ B, A ⇒ C) = (A ⇒ B, C).

Simulfication Emissionry ISEE) If A C C then

The R Journal Vol. XX/XX AAAA 2022

```
    Right-Simplification Equivalency InSilig1 If A ⊂ D, then
```

Usually, many areas, the implications have always atomic attributes on the right-hand side. We emphasize that this logic can manage accreted implications, i.e. the implications' consequents do not have to be sincletone. This remember an increase of the losic efficiency

have to be suppressed. This represents an increase of the togic efficiency. This logic removes attribute redundancies in some of the implications in the Daquerne-Guiga hasis reasoned before. Particulative the implicit stress with number 2.3.4.5 and 6 and simulified by

| - 2 | | 241 | - 10 | - 02 |
|------|----------|-----|------|------|
| 3. | | 22 | - 10 | - (2 |
| 4 | \$5,99, | P4} | - 10 | (2) |
| 5. | - i (65) | 72) | - 10 | - (P |
| - 61 | (45)95, | гń, | - 10 | - (P |

One of the mission uses of a set of intellications is computing the closure of a set of strifts from the

100N 2073-4450

Derivation operators

The methods that incolonient the derivation operators are named after them: intert(), extent()

(P2 (0.5), P4 (0.5))
> T <- SetHorw(fcHattributes, P1 = 1, P2 = 1);</pre>

> followseet(T)

In addition, we can perform clasification on the formal control, by using fc8clarify(), giving

| • | 1Cerv | 4.1 | with | à objects | and | x | 411 |
|---|-------|-----|------|-----------|-----|---|-----|
| | | PI | | CP2, P43 | | | |
| | - 01 | | 0.5 | 0.5 | | | |
| | - 04 | ٠ | | 0.5 | | | |
| | 033 | 4.5 | | | | | |

The durificated more and columns in the formal control have been collareed, and the common diag

Concept Initian

6: ((02, 01), (P1 (0.5], P2, P4)) 7: ((02 (0.5], 03 (0.5]), (P1, P2, P4))

In order to know the cardinality of the set of concepts (that is, the number of concepts), we can

The trpical subsetting operation in R with brackets is implemented to select specific concepts from

> followersets[c/1:1, 5, 83]

- 2: ((01, 04), (P2 (0.5), P3 (0.5), P4 (0.5))) 2: ((01 (0.5), 04), (P2 (0.5), P3 (0.5), P4 (0.5))) 2: ((01 (0.5), 04), (P2 (0.5), P3, P4 (0.5))) 4: ((01 (0.5), 04), (P2 (0.5), P2, P4))

In addition, the user can compute concepts' support (the proportion of objects whose set of



Research Hanne discourse for a sublattice of the otheral's formal context.

an individual) and returns the degree of the diagnosis attributes using the implications extracted from

Next, we use the NEXTCLOSUM algorithm to extract implications and compute the set of concepts.

ing concernst batters to easies him (14706 concernsts) showdows it cannot be adopted been for smace and

There is an aggregate of 985 implications extracted. Let us compute the average cardinality of the LHE and the RHE of the extracted roles:

```
    collinear (foking) i can i cankel an (V)
```

2.412587 1.954145

note that our paradigm can deal with non-unit implications, that is, where there is more than one attribute in the ILES of the intellication. This feature is an extension of schart is usual in other

We can use the shufffortion locir to summore nobsolancies and softwar the LHE and EEE size of the

a fotianlightionstands relations a clinicalification', insimilification'))

LHS RHS

We can see that the average cardinality of the LHS has been reduced from 2.418 to 1.998 and that the one of the RHE from 1.004 to 1.897

With the simplified implication set, we can build a recommender system by simply wrapping the racomant() method inside a function

fotimalizationstracompany's = S attribute filter : c('dx.ss', 'dx.other'))

This function can be applied to "let"s that have the same attributes as those of the formal control

Lating concerning some sate of attributes and out the successmendation (discussis) for each one

COSAS 1 = 1/2, CDSAS 2 = 1, CDSAS 3 = 1/2 COSAS_1 = 1/2, COSAS_2 = 1, COSAS_3 = 1/2, COSAS_4 = 1/6, COSAS_5 = 1/2, COSAS_6 = 11

> disease(SI)

ds ss ds other

Integration with **arules**

arules is a standard in R to compute and manage (unit) association rules. The implications computed *or simplified* using **fcaR** can be exported to the **arules** format.

• Since, in many cases, other people know about association rules mining and its terminology, it is easy to introduce FCA and its methods with this integration.

Where to find help

https://malaga-fca-group.github.io/fcaR/

fcaR 1.1.1 🕋 Reference Articles - Changelog

fcaR: Tools for Formal Concept Analysis

The aim of this package is to provide tools to perform fuzzy formal concept analysis (FCA) from within R. It provides functions to load and save a Formal Context, extract its concept lattice and implications. In addition, one can use the implications to compute semantic closures of fuzzy sets and, thus, build recommendation systems.

Stories of success

Where have we used fcaR?

The ways in which we have used **fcaR** so far are:

- From a theoretically point of view:
 - Rapid development and checking of new ideas: **fcaR** allows for a fast iteration of the cycle **theory practice theory**.
- With practical purposes:
 - Use the simplification logic for automated reasoning and creation of recommender systems.
 - Explore the concept lattice in real-world problems to model and extract knowledge.

Recommender systems



A conversational recommender system for diagnosis using fuzzy rules



P. Cordero^a, M. Enciso^b, D. López^{a,a}, A. Mora^a

^a Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Universidad de Málaga, Andalucía Tech, Málaga, Spain ^b Dept. of Computer Science, Universidad de Málaga, Andalucía Tech, Málaga, Spain



Comparison of the current proposal to other recommender systems and machine learning methods.

| | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity | Precision |
|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| ALS | 0.360 | 0.333 | 0.380 | 0.290 |
| IBCF (Cosine) | 0.555 | 0.475 | 0.615 | 0.483 |
| IBCF (Pearson) | 0.770 | 0.466 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| LIBMF | 0.491 | 0.901 | 0.181 | 0.455 |
| SVD | 0.376 | 0.515 | 0.271 | 0.349 |
| SVDF | 0.431 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.431 |
| UBCF (Cosine) | 0.608 | 0.967 | 0.335 | 0.524 |
| UBCF (Pearson) | 0.525 | 0.783 | 0.330 | 0.470 |
| C5.0 | 0.674 | 0.636 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| PART | 0.883 | 0.847 | 0.950 | 0.970 |
| JRip | 0.752 | 0.814 | 0.688 | 0.731 |
| Random Forest | 0.953 | 0.924 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| xgboost | 0.818 | 0.963 | 0.713 | 0.706 |
| k-nn | 0.589 | 0.603 | 0.544 | 0.815 |
| Proposal | 0.982 | 0.996 | 0.948 | 0.955 |

Mixed attributes

Used for knowledge spaces and learning paths (IJCIS, submitted)

Minimal generators from positive and negative attributes: analysing the knowledge space of a maths course





MDPI

Article Simplifying Implications with Positive and Negative Attributes: A Logic-Based Approach

Francisco Pérez-Gámez [©], Domingo López-Rodríguez [®], Pablo Cordero [®], Ángel Mora [®] and Manuel Ojeda-Aciego *[®]

> Departamento Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain; franciscoperezgame:@uma.es (F.P.-G.); dominlopez@uma.es (D.-L.R.); pcordero@uma.es (P.C.); amora@uma.es (Á.M.) - Corresondence: acieco@uma.es

Theorem 3. Consider $A, B, C, D \subseteq M\overline{M}$:

[KeyEq'] If there exist $x \in A \cap D$, $y \in B \cap \overline{C}$ with $A \smallsetminus x = C \smallsetminus \overline{y}$, then

$${A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D} \equiv {A \rightarrow B \smallsetminus y, C \smallsetminus \overline{y} \rightarrow y} \equiv {A \rightarrow B \smallsetminus y, C \rightarrow M\overline{M}}.$$

[KeyEq"] If $A \subseteq C \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap \overline{D} \neq \emptyset$, for any $x \in C$ we have that then

$$\{A \to B, C \to D\} \equiv \{A \to B, C \smallsetminus x \to \overline{x}\}$$

[RedEq'] If $D \subseteq B$ and there exists $x \in A \cap \overline{C}$ such that $A \setminus x = C \setminus \overline{x}$, then

$$\{A \to B, C \to D\} \equiv \{A \to B \smallsetminus D, C \smallsetminus \overline{x} \to D\}.$$

[RftEq] If there exist $x \in A$, $y \in B \cap \overline{C}$ and $A \smallsetminus x = C \smallsetminus \overline{y}$, then

$$\{A \to B, C \to D\} \equiv \{A \to B \smallsetminus y, C \to D\overline{x}\}.$$

[RftEq'] If there exist $x \in A \cap \overline{D}$, $y \in B \cap \overline{C}$ and $A \smallsetminus x \subseteq C \smallsetminus \overline{y}$, then

$$\{A \to B, C \to D\} \equiv \{A \to B, C \to D \smallsetminus \overline{x}\}$$

[MixUnEq] If there exist $x \in A$, $y \in C$ such that $A \smallsetminus x = C \smallsetminus y$ and $b \in D$, then $\{A \to b, C \to D\} = \{(A \smallsetminus x)\overline{b} \to \overline{x}\overline{y}, C \to D \succ b\}$



Clustering of implications applied to Social Network Analysis

Clustering and Identification of Core Implications

Domingo López-Rodríguez^(⊠), Pablo Cordero®, Manuel Enciso®, and Ángel Mora®



Sentiment Analysis





Figure source: Blei, D. M. (2012). Probabilistic topic models. Communications of the ACM, 55(4), 77-84.

Collaborations

• Construction companies: Integration of FCA-based recommendation systems within the *Building Information Modelling* (BIM) methodology.

| Panel de | Control |
|--|---|
| Datos Titulo Obra: Organismo: Teo Contratación: Importe Licitación: Propuesta económica: Propuesta técnica: Puntuación total: Baja: Fecha: dd /mr./ aaaa | Baja: Importe/Oferta: Fecha: Tipo de Obra: Organismo: Tipo Contratación: Vaior TM: Ea Baja Temeraria: Ganador: Prop. económica: Prop. técnica: Punt. total: |
| Image: Image: Ima | |

• Cybersecurity company: Creation of an ontology of malware threats.



 $\{Sophos = bckdr-rxm\} \Rightarrow \{Avast = metasploit-g, Kaspersky = heur: downloader.os. Avast = metasploit-g, Kaspersky = heur:$

- Other research groups:
- a. Application of fuzzy FCA to neuroimage processing and understanding.
- b. Use of recommender systems and logic tools to analyse and reduce the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect for urban planning.
- c. FCA for concept drift detection in online unsupervised machine learning.

Future works

Future works

We are exploring several lines:

- Improve the usability by non-experts.
- Better integration for external tools (both in R and other languages or via web).
- Develop new algorithms and extensions.

Future developments

Web application

• Web app for **fcaR** (demo)

Some extensions

- Integrate association rules in the library (Luxenburger's basis).
- Logic for mixed attributes: new algorithms to compute bases of mixed implications, iterative closure algorithm...
- Other extensions: $\{\circ, +, -, i\}$.

Other algorithms

- Concept lattice (InClose, FastCbO, NextNeighbour)
- Canonical basis of implications
- Direct bases and minimal generators.
- Parallelization of the above.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH